



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,618	07/12/2001	Jerry C. Scott	4705	1231
29699	7590	07/08/2004		
PATENT FOCUS, INC C/O RICHARD C. MCCOMAS 208 MARK LN SMYRNA, GA 30082			EXAMINER CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
			ART UNIT 3727	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/902,618

Applicant(s)

SCOTT, JERRY C.

Examiner

Stephen J. Castellano

Art Unit

3727

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 April 2004.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3,5-7,9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,5-7,9 and 11-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 3,5-7,9 and 11-16 is/are rejected.
7) ☒ Claim(s) 3,5-7,9 and 11-14 is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/01.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 3727

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8 and 10 have been canceled. Claims 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 have been withdrawn.

Claims 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 are objected to as being incomplete since there are dependent on canceled claims.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3, 5-7, 9 and 11-14 are indefinite because they are dependent upon canceled claims, it can't be determined if the metes and bounds of these claims include what was stated in the canceled claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kovash et al. (Kovash) in view of Sinn, Coren and Payne et al. (Payne).

Kovash discloses an apparatus of approximately 20' (deep) X 6' (high) X 6' (wide) and capable of storing 6' ladders and certainly capable of storing much smaller ladders of step ladder variety and rope ladder variety. The walls are comprised of bottom, top, front, rear and two side

Art Unit: 3727

walls, all substantially rectangular. The rear wall is a door 40 having one long (top) side hinged to the top wall and the other long (bottom) side hinged to the bottom wall by pins 44. Although not shown in the drawings, it is believed that the corner fittings 27 (four all together and located at the corners of the bottom wall) provide apertures as is typical of corner fitting design. Kovash discloses the invention except for the angular disposition of the front wall towards the bottom walls other short side. Sinn teaches a luggage box with a ladder compartment (2a) with the rear wall angled towards the opposite side and the opposite side has a hinged access door. Coren teaches a container with a sloped or angled rear wall angled towards the opposite side providing access. It would have been obvious to add a sloped or angled rear wall to correspond to the contour of the ladders feet or to correspond to an angled frame or attachment struts.

If it should be deemed that the front and rear walls top and bottom sides are not the long sides, it would have been obvious to lower the height dimension to provide head (height) clearance for safer transportation allowing greater clearance in tunnels and low height passageways.

If it should be deemed that the corner fittings do not sufficiently disclose apertures, it would have been obvious to add the teachings of Payne. Payne discloses corner fittings with apertures in the bottom wall. It would have been obvious to add the apertures to provide corresponding attachment members to enable lashing to the deck of a ship, attachment to a flat bed of a truck or stacking with another similar container.

Re claim 16, Kovash discloses a first housing and stacking of housings, Payne teaches stacking and Sinn discloses the addition of a bottom compartment. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3727

to add an identical rectangular second housing below the first housing to provide additional storage juxtaposed and connected to the bottom wall of the first housing.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 703-308-1035. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Stephen J. Castellano
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3727

sjc